The Thunderbolt's Reign: Bayezid I and the Forging of the Ottoman Empire, 1389-1402

Introduction

The reign of Sultan Bayezid I, from 1389 to 1402, represents a pivotal and profoundly paradoxical period in the history of the Ottoman Empire. In a mere thirteen years, Bayezid, known to his contemporaries and to posterity as *Yıldırım*—The Thunderbolt—dramatically accelerated the transformation of the Ottoman state from a frontier principality into a centralized, transcontinental empire.[1] His rule was a whirlwind of military activity, marked by stunning victories against both European crusaders and rival Turkish principalities, unprecedented territorial expansion across the Balkans and Anatolia, and significant administrative and architectural undertakings that began to define a distinct imperial identity.[3] Bayezid was the first Ottoman ruler to operate on a truly imperial scale, simultaneously besieging Constantinople and projecting his power to the Danube and the Euphrates. However, the very qualities that defined his reign—ambition, speed, and aggression—also contained the seeds of its catastrophic end. His relentless drive to centralize power and unify Anatolia under his sole command brought him into direct conflict with the formidable Turco-Mongol conqueror, Timur (Tamerlane). This rivalry culminated in the Battle of Ankara in 1402, a devastating defeat that resulted in Bayezid's capture—the only instance in the dynasty's long history of a reigning sultan being taken prisoner by an enemy—and the subsequent fragmentation of his hard-won empire into a decade-long civil war known as the Ottoman Interregnum.[4]

This report provides a comprehensive analysis of Bayezid I and the Ottoman Empire during his rule. It will argue that Bayezid was both the principal architect of the first centralized Ottoman state and, through his strategic overreach and personal hubris, the agent of its near-destruction. His reign serves as a crucial case study in the volatile dynamics of early modern state-building, demonstrating how a polity forged by the singular will of an autocratic ruler could prove perilously fragile in his absence. The report is divided into two parts. Part I examines the Sultan himself: his formation as a warrior, his key military triumphs, his rivalry with Timur, and his tragic downfall. Part II analyzes the empire he governed: the structures of his new centralized state, its military and administrative architecture, its economic foundations, and the cultural expressions of its nascent imperial power.

Table 1: Chronology of Major Campaigns and Annexations (1389-1402)

Year(s)	Event
1389	Accession of Bayezid I on the battlefield of Kosovo following the death of Murad I; execution of his brother Yakub.[7]
1390	First major Anatolian campaign; annexation of the beyliks of Aydin, Saruhan, Menteshe, Hamid, and Teke.[4]
1391	Bayezid captures Skopje, establishing a key base in the Balkans.[4] First siege of Constantinople begins.[7]
1393	Annexation of the Bulgarian kingdom; capture of its capital, Tirnova (Tarnovo).[3]
1394	Conquest of Thessalonica (Salonika).[3] Campaign into Wallachia against Mircea the Elder.[4]
1394-1402	Near-continuous blockade and siege of Constantinople; construction of the Anadoluhisarı (Anatolian Fortress) on the Bosphorus.[1]
1396	Decisive victory over a European Crusader army at the Battle of Nicopolis.[3]
1397	Final defeat of the Karamanid emirate at the Battle of Akçay; annexation of its territories, including Konya.[3]
1398	Conquest of the territory of Kadi Burhan al-Din of Sivas and the Djanik emirate.[4]
1400	Timur invades Ottoman territory, sacking the city of Sivas.[11]
1402	Bayezid lifts the siege of Constantinople to confront Timur. On July 28, he is defeated and captured at the Battle of Ankara.[4]
1403	Bayezid I dies in captivity in March, precipitating the Ottoman Interregnum.[3]

Part I: The Sultan – A Portrait of Bayezid "Yıldırım"

This part focuses on Bayezid the man: his character, his path to power, his military career, and his tragic end. It seeks to understand the personality and motivations that drove one of the

most dynamic and consequential rulers of the 14th century.

The Prince of the Frontier: Forging of a Warrior

The character of Bayezid I—defined by ambition, impetuosity, and military prowess—was forged in the dual context of the sophisticated Ottoman court and the volatile Balkan frontier. This upbringing created a ruler who possessed both the vision for a grand empire and the warrior's temperament to pursue it with relentless speed.

Early Life and Education

Born circa 1360 in Edirne, the newly established European capital of the Ottomans, Bayezid was the son of the reigning sultan, Murad I, and his consort Gülçiçek Hatun, who was of Greek origin.[4] As a prince (
şehzade), he received a comprehensive education befitting his station. He spent his childhood in the Bursa Palace and was tutored by the most famous scholars of the era, who instructed him in Islamic philosophy, the arts of statecraft, and the principles of warfare.[14] This formal, courtly education, steeped in the Perso-Islamic traditions of kingship, instilled in him a perspective that transcended the limited horizons of a mere frontier chieftain. Contemporary descriptions note his physical presence, describing him as having a round face, a light complexion, hazel eyes, and broad, powerful shoulders.[14]

Gubernatorial and Military Apprenticeship

Bayezid's theoretical education was complemented by practical experience in both administration and command. In 1381, he was appointed governor of Kütahya, a significant territory in Anatolia that had been acquired through his strategic marriage to Devletşah Hatun, a princess of the neighboring Germiyanid dynasty.[4] This marriage exemplified the Ottoman policy of the time, which favored peaceful expansion in Anatolia through alliances and purchases, a policy Bayezid himself would later abandon.[17]
It was on the battlefield, however, that Bayezid truly distinguished himself. He actively participated in his father's numerous campaigns, gaining a reputation as a daring, courageous, and fiercely competent commander.[1] He was also known to be impetuous and somewhat impulsive, characteristics that would define his rule.[4] This combination of bravery and haste earned him his famous epithet,
Yıldırım, or "The Thunderbolt." While the name is broadly associated with the incredible speed with which he mobilized his armies, sources specifically attribute its origin to his performance in a battle against the Karamanids, the Battle of Frenkyazısı, in 1386 or 1387.[1] This moniker became synonymous with his entire military and political persona, a symbol of his preference

for rapid movement and decisive, overwhelming attacks.[20]

Ambition and Ideology

From a young age, Bayezid harbored an ambition that exceeded that of his predecessors. He was particularly driven by the desire to conquer Constantinople, the ancient capital of the Byzantine Empire. This ambition was likely fueled by the popular "conquest" *Hadith*—a saying attributed to the Prophet Muhammad—which prophesied the Muslim conquest of the city and praised its future conqueror.[15] This religious and ideological motivation elevated the potential conquest from a mere strategic objective to a sacred duty.

In a clear declaration of his imperial aspirations, Bayezid was the first Ottoman ruler to formally adopt the title of *Sultan-i Rûm*, or "Sultan of the Roman Lands".[1]

Rûm was the traditional Islamic name for the Byzantine Empire and its Anatolian heartland. By claiming this title, Bayezid was asserting himself not just as a Turkish bey or a *ghazi* leader, but as the legitimate heir to the Roman-Byzantine imperial legacy in Anatolia.[4]

This synthesis of influences reveals the complex identity of the prince who would become sultan. Bayezid was a product of the tension inherent in the early Ottoman state: he was educated in the high Islamic and Persianate courtly traditions while simultaneously being blooded as a *ghazi* warrior on the rugged Balkan frontier. This duality shaped his reign. His ambition was not merely for the plunder and territory typical of a frontier warlord, but for the universal legitimacy and grandeur symbolized by imperial titles and the conquest of a legendary city. While his father, Murad I, had laid the institutional foundations of a state, moving beyond a simple *ghazi* principality, Bayezid inherited this nascent state and infused it with an unprecedented level of imperial purpose.[3] His actions were a fusion of his dual formation: the
ghazi's drive to conquer and the courtier's ambition for empire. It was this synthesis that explains why his reign was so transformative, moving the Ottomans decisively from the status of a regional power to that of an emerging empire.

A Throne of Blood and Victory: Accession at Kosovo

The Battle of Kosovo on June 15, 1389, was the defining moment of Bayezid's accession to the throne. It was on this bloody field that he demonstrated his military genius, witnessed the death of his father, and secured his own undisputed rule through an act of ruthless fratricide.

The Battle of Kosovo

In the spring of 1389, Sultan Murad I marched his army north to confront a formidable coalition of Balkan forces, led by Prince Lazar of Serbia and supported by Bosnian and other

contingents, who had gathered on the Kosovo Polje (Field of Blackbirds).[8] The Ottoman army, estimated at 27,000 to 30,000 men, was commanded by Murad in the center, with his two sons, Bayezid and Yakub Çelebi, leading the right and left wings, respectively.[8] The battle was one of the largest of the Late Middle Ages, and though reliable firsthand accounts are scarce, its general course is known. The engagement began with a charge of Serbian heavy cavalry, which slammed into the Ottoman left flank commanded by Yakub. The charge was devastatingly effective, shattering Yakub's lines and giving the Serbians an early advantage.[8] While the Ottoman left crumbled, Bayezid's leadership on the right wing proved pivotal. He held his ground against the Serbian assault and then launched what contemporary accounts describe as a "vicious counterattack".[8] His charge broke the Serbian cavalry, turned the tide of the battle, and ultimately secured a hard-fought Ottoman victory.[24] His performance on the field cemented his reputation as a brilliant and decisive commander, the true hero of the battle.

The Death of Murad I and Accession

The Ottoman victory was overshadowed by an unprecedented event: the death of the Sultan. Murad I was killed either during the chaos of the battle or immediately after, becoming the only Ottoman sultan to die on the battlefield.[24] The most widely accepted account holds that he was assassinated in his tent by a Serbian knight, identified in later traditions as Miloš Obilić, who gained access by feigning defection.[4] Upon being informed of his father's death, Bayezid, then 29 years old, was immediately proclaimed the new sultan by the commanders on the field.[1]

The Act of Fratricide

Murad's death created a perilous power vacuum. According to Turco-Mongol tradition, all sons of a ruler had an equal claim to the throne, and with both Bayezid and his brother Yakub commanding substantial portions of the army, a destructive civil war was a near certainty.[8] Bayezid, embodying the decisiveness that earned him his nickname, acted to preempt this threat. He sent a messenger to summon Yakub to his command tent, deliberately withholding the news of their father's death. When Yakub arrived, he was ambushed and strangled.[4] This act, one of the first recorded instances of systematic fratricide in the Ottoman dynasty, eliminated his only rival and secured his sole claim to the throne.[30]
This brutal act was not merely one of personal ambition but a calculated political decision, representing a crucial, if merciless, step towards establishing a centralized, unitary state. It was a pragmatic rejection of the older Turkish political tradition of *üleş*, which viewed the state as the "common patrimony of the dynasty".[31] This tradition, where every male member of the ruling family considered himself to have an equal right to rule, had frequently stimulated

dynastic battles that resulted in the fragmentation and collapse of previous Turkish states.[31] The early Ottomans, having witnessed these historical failures, came to understand that the death of a few princes to prevent
fitnah (rebellion and social disturbance) was preferable to the dissolution of the entire state.[31] At Kosovo, Bayezid faced this exact scenario. His swift execution of Yakub was a direct and practical application of this new, centralizing ideology: the state must have a single, undisputed ruler. While not yet legally codified, his action set a powerful precedent that the preservation of the state and public order (*nizam-i alem*) superseded the lives of individual princes. The profound irony is that the failure to apply this principle after Bayezid's own death would lead directly to the Ottoman Interregnum, the very chaos he sought to avoid. This subsequent catastrophe, born from the survival of multiple sons, provided the ultimate justification for his great-grandson, Mehmed II, to formally legislate the practice of fratricide in his *Kānunnāme* (Law Code), transforming Bayezid's *de facto* policy choice into a *de jure* principle of Ottoman statecraft.[31] Thus, Bayezid's action at Kosovo can be seen as a foundational moment in the development of the Ottoman concept of indivisible sovereignty—a brutal but essential step in the transition from a tribal confederation to a centralized empire.

The Ghazi-Sultan at His Zenith: The Crusade of Nicopolis (1396)

The Battle of Nicopolis in 1396 marked the apex of Bayezid's military career and his prestige as a *Ghazi-Sultan*, a warrior for the faith. His resounding victory over a major European coalition not only solidified Ottoman dominion over the Balkans but also sent shockwaves of fear and awe throughout Christendom, confirming the Ottoman Empire as a formidable world power.

The Call for a Crusade

Bayezid's relentless expansion into the Balkans following his accession was the primary catalyst for the crusade. He completed the subjugation of Bulgaria, occupying its capital Tirnova in 1393, and extended his control over northern Greece.[3] In 1394, he began a long and determined blockade of Constantinople itself, a clear signal of his ultimate intentions.[4] Alarmed by this rapid advance and the existential threat to the Byzantine Empire, Pope Boniface IX issued a call for a new crusade against the Ottomans.[10] The call was answered by a large and confident international army, composed primarily of knights and soldiers from the Kingdom of Hungary, France, and the Duchy of Burgundy, and led by the ambitious King Sigismund of Hungary.[3]

The Campaign and the Battle

The Crusader army, estimated to be between 17,000 and 20,000 strong, marched down the Danube River and in late summer laid siege to the strategically important Ottoman fortress of Nicopolis (in modern Bulgaria).[10] The campaign was plagued from the outset by a lack of unified command and an excess of arrogance. The French and Burgundian knights, proud and dismissive of Sigismund's authority, were eager for glory and deeply underestimated their opponent.[35] While they engaged in a listless siege, spending their time in revelry, Bayezid demonstrated the speed that had earned him his name.[36]

Hearing of the siege while his forces were near Constantinople, Bayezid executed a forced march north, covering a vast distance with such rapidity that his arrival caught the Crusaders completely by surprise.[9] On September 25, 1396, the two armies met. The battle was a showcase of Ottoman military discipline and tactical superiority against European feudal recklessness. King Sigismund, aware of Ottoman tactics, proposed a cautious plan: let his less-armored Wallachian troops draw the initial Ottoman attack, and then commit the heavily armored knights. The French, however, refused, insisting on the honor of leading the charge.[35] Their impetuous cavalry charge was initially successful against the Ottoman frontline of irregular infantry (*azaps*), but it was a calculated trap. The knights exhausted their horses and themselves charging uphill, only to find themselves impaled on a line of sharpened stakes and facing the disciplined ranks of the elite Janissary infantry and archers.[36] As the French charge faltered and fell into disarray, Bayezid unleashed his decisive counter-attack. His elite heavy cavalry, the

sipahis, who had been held in reserve, crashed into the disorganized knights. Simultaneously, a critical flanking attack was launched by a force of some 5,000 Serbian armored knights led by Bayezid's vassal and brother-in-law, Stefan Lazarević.[35] The Crusader army was completely routed. Thousands were killed, and thousands more, including many of the most prominent nobles of France and Burgundy, were taken captive.[10]

Aftermath and Significance

In the aftermath, Bayezid ordered the execution of some 3,000 prisoners, a brutal act of retaliation for the Crusaders' earlier massacre of the Ottoman garrison at Rahova.[39] Only the most high-ranking nobles were spared for ransom. The victory at Nicopolis was total. It effectively ended large-scale, organized European efforts to drive the Ottomans from the Balkans for nearly half a century, confirmed Ottoman supremacy in the region, and sealed the fate of the Second Bulgarian Empire.[1] The triumph elevated Bayezid's status throughout the Islamic world. To commemorate this great victory, he commissioned the magnificent Ulu Cami (Grand Mosque) in his capital, Bursa.[4]

The Battle of Nicopolis was more than a simple victory; it was a decisive clash of two distinct military systems. The outcome demonstrated the superiority of the disciplined, professional, and combined-arms Ottoman military over the anachronistic, honor-driven, and fractious chivalry of Western Europe. The Crusader army was a temporary coalition riven by internal disputes over command and precedence, with tactics based on the individualistic glory of the

heavy cavalry charge.[35] In contrast, the Ottoman army was a permanent, centralized force under a single commander. Bayezid employed sophisticated combined-arms tactics: a disposable frontline of irregulars to absorb the charge, a solid core of disciplined Janissaries, and a decisive blow from heavy
sipahi cavalry held in reserve.[35] His strategy expertly exploited the Crusaders' predictability, using terrain and prepared defenses to break their momentum before delivering the killing blow. The tactical use of his Serbian vassals in a critical flanking maneuver further showcased a sophisticated command structure that could integrate diverse units into a coherent battle plan. At Nicopolis, Bayezid acted as a modern general commanding a state army, while his opponents behaved as feudal lords leading personal retinues. The result was a victory that was systemic, not accidental.

The Inevitable Collision: The Rivalry with Timur

While Bayezid's victory at Nicopolis secured his western flank, his aggressive policies in the east were setting him on a collision course with an even more formidable power. The conflict with the Turco-Mongol conqueror Timur was not accidental but the logical and tragic outcome of a clash between two colossal egos, two expanding empires, and two competing claims to supremacy in the Islamic world.

Clashing Imperial Ambitions

As Bayezid rapidly consolidated his rule over the various Turkish principalities (beyliks) of Anatolia between 1390 and 1398, he inevitably encroached upon Timur's sphere of influence.[3] Both rulers were at the zenith of their power and considered themselves the preeminent sovereigns of their time.[4] Timur, who had built a vast empire from Central Asia and sought to restore the legacy of the Mongol Empire, claimed a right of suzerainty over the Anatolian rulers, whom he viewed as his subordinates.[3] Bayezid, who had styled himself "Sultan of Rûm," saw Anatolia as his exclusive imperial domain and refused to acknowledge any superior.[9] Their parallel expansionist projects made a confrontation all but inevitable.

The Catalyst of Conflict

The direct *casus belli* emerged from the consequences of Bayezid's Anatolian conquests. His policy of forceful annexation drove the dispossessed Turkish emirs—rulers of principalities like Aydin, Menteshe, and Germiyan—to flee eastward and seek refuge at Timur's court in Samarkand.[3] They pleaded with Timur to intervene and restore them to their lands, providing him with a perfect pretext to move against the Ottomans. Simultaneously, Bayezid offered protection to two of Timur's most prominent enemies: Sultan Ahmad Jalayir of Baghdad and

Qara Yusuf, the leader of the Qara Qoyunlu (Black Sheep) Turkmen confederacy, both of whom had been driven from their lands by Timur's campaigns in Mesopotamia and Azerbaijan.[12] This mutual harboring of rivals transformed a geopolitical rivalry into a direct and personal challenge that neither proud ruler could ignore.

The War of Words

The escalating tension was articulated in a series of increasingly insulting letters exchanged between the two courts from roughly 1400 to 1402.[4] Timur's correspondence was consistently condescending, addressing Bayezid as a mere frontier emir and urging him to submit to his authority. He belittled Bayezid's military successes, particularly the victory at Nicopolis, and demanded the surrender of his enemies.[4] Bayezid's responses were filled with defiance and hubris. He rejected Timur's claims of superiority, boasted of his own power, and at one point, in a grave breach of Turco-Mongol etiquette, made insulting references to the women of Timur's harem.[11] This vitriolic exchange stripped away any diplomatic pretense, revealing a deep personal animosity and a fundamental clash over legitimacy that could only be resolved on the battlefield.

Timur's Strategic Encirclement

While Bayezid was preoccupied with his long siege of Constantinople, Timur methodically prepared for war by strategically isolating his opponent. In 1400, he marched into Anatolia and sacked the important Ottoman city of Sivas, a direct challenge to Bayezid's authority.[11] He then turned south, neutralizing the Mamluk Sultanate in Syria and capturing Baghdad in 1401, thereby securing his southern flank and preventing any possibility of an Ottoman-Mamluk alliance.[12] Crucially, he successfully leveraged the discontent of the Anatolian beyliks, convincing them to abandon their Ottoman overlord and join his cause.[4] By the time Timur marched on Ankara in 1402, he had diplomatically and militarily encircled Bayezid, turning the Sultan's own conquered subjects against him.

This conflict was fundamentally a struggle for legitimacy in the post-Mongol Islamic world. Each ruler's claim was based on a different source of authority. Bayezid's was built on his role as the premier *Ghazi* king, the champion of Sunni Islam against Christendom, a status cemented by his great victory at Nicopolis.[3] Timur's claim was based on his (tenuous) connection to the legacy of Genghis Khan and his carefully cultivated role as the "protector" of the Muslim emirs of Anatolia, whom Bayezid had oppressed.[15] Bayezid's aggressive centralization in Anatolia created a critical vulnerability. By using his Christian vassals to conquer fellow Muslim Turks, he violated a core principle of *ghazi* conduct and alienated the very Turkmen warriors who formed the traditional backbone of the Ottoman army. This forced him to seek justifying *fatwas* from religious scholars, a clear sign of the controversial nature of his actions.[4] The dispossessed emirs who fled to Timur's

court framed their cause as a plea for justice, allowing Timur to cast his invasion not as a war of aggression against a fellow Muslim ruler, but as a righteous campaign to restore order. The mass desertion of the Anatolian troops on the field of Ankara was therefore not just a military betrayal but a political judgment. They saw Timur, a fellow Turco-Mongol, as a more legitimate suzerain than the increasingly centralized and "Romanized" Ottoman sultan who had used Christian soldiers to subdue them. Bayezid's imperial project, for all its military success, had failed to forge a political identity in Anatolia compelling enough to withstand a rival claim to legitimacy.

The Fall of the Thunderbolt: Ankara, Captivity, and Death

The Battle of Ankara was the tragic and humiliating culmination of Bayezid's reign. On a single day, the empire he had built with such ferocious speed was shattered, and the Thunderbolt himself was brought to earth, his fall precipitating a crisis that nearly destroyed the Ottoman dynasty.

The Battle of Ankara

In the summer of 1402, Bayezid finally lifted his long siege of Constantinople and marched his army of roughly 85,000 men east to confront Timur's host of approximately 140,000.[13] The campaign was doomed by a series of strategic blunders. Timur, a master of maneuver warfare, executed a brilliant feint. As Bayezid marched eastward toward Sivas, Timur secretly swung his massive army southwest, circled behind the Ottomans, and laid siege to the vital city of Ankara.[5] This forced Bayezid to turn back and march his troops through the grueling midsummer heat to relieve the city. When the Ottoman army arrived at the Çubuk plain near Ankara on July 28, 1402, they were exhausted and thirsty. Timur had compounded their misery by diverting the area's main water source, the Çubuk creek, leaving the Ottomans with no means of refreshment before the battle.[5]

Despite the dire circumstances and the advice of his generals to adopt a defensive posture, Bayezid's pride and impetuous nature led him to order a frontal assault.[13] The result was a catastrophe. The battle began with an Ottoman attack that was met by devastating swarms of arrows from Timur's horse archers.[13] The Ottoman army then began to disintegrate from within. The troops from the recently conquered Anatolian beyliks, along with the Black Tatar cavalry, deserted en masse, switching their allegiance to Timur on the battlefield.[5] This mass defection, which reduced Bayezid's army by as much as a quarter, effectively decided the battle's outcome.[45] His Serbian vassals under Stefan Lazarević fought with legendary courage and discipline, cutting through the enemy lines multiple times, but they could not turn the tide alone.[13] As his army collapsed around him, Bayezid attempted to flee with a small contingent of horsemen but was surrounded in the nearby mountains and captured. He thus became the only Ottoman sultan in history to be taken prisoner in battle.[4]

The Captivity Debate

The nature of Bayezid's captivity is the subject of two starkly contrasting historical narratives. The most famous and sensational version, popularized in Europe and by later Arab historians like Ibn Arabshah, claims that Bayezid was subjected to the utmost humiliation. According to this legend, he was kept in a barred palanquin or an iron cage, used as a footstool for Timur to mount his horse, and forced to watch his beloved Serbian wife, Olivera Despina, serve Timur's courtiers naked at a banquet.[4]

However, contemporary accounts from Timur's own court historians, as well as the narrative of Johann Schiltberger, a German soldier who was captured at Nicopolis and was present in Timur's camp, paint a very different picture. These sources report that Bayezid was treated with the respect and courtesy befitting his royal rank.[4] Timur is said to have initially laughed upon meeting Bayezid, wryly remarking that fate clearly did not value power if it distributed empires to cripples—a reference to his own limp and Bayezid's possible deformity—but that he otherwise treated his captive well and even mourned his death.[4] Most modern historians consider this latter account to be far more plausible, viewing the story of the cage as a later embellishment.

Death in Captivity

Bayezid died in captivity in Akşehir in March 1403, approximately eight months after his defeat.[3] The cause of his death is also disputed. Some Ottoman sources, seeking to cast his end in a more heroic light, claim that he committed suicide by taking poison concealed in a ring to avoid further dishonor.[48] However, the consensus among historians is that he died of natural causes, likely from a stroke or apoplexy, brought on by the profound "emotional and physical disturbances" of his catastrophic defeat and imprisonment.[7] Timur had Bayezid's body returned to the Ottomans, and he was buried in Bursa in the tomb complex he had commissioned, the construction of which was overseen by his son, Süleyman Çelebi.[19]

The legend of Bayezid's humiliation in a cage, while likely a fabrication, became a powerful and enduring political myth that served different purposes for different audiences. For Europeans, it was a deeply satisfying cautionary tale of the downfall of a feared enemy, reinforcing the trope of the cruel "oriental despot" receiving his just deserts.[45] The story provided a measure of solace for the humiliation of the defeat at Nicopolis. For the Ottomans, the alternative narrative of suicide transformed a shameful defeat into a personal tragedy of honor. It preserved the Sultan's dignity by portraying him as choosing death over a life of dishonor, a more palatable memory for the dynasty than a Sultan simply wasting away in captivity. The persistence of these myths speaks to their political utility; they reveal more about the anxieties and ideologies of the societies that created them than about the actual events that transpired in Timur's camp.

Part II: The Empire – The Anatomy of a Nascent Power

This part shifts the focus from the individual ruler to the state he governed. It examines the profound changes the Ottoman polity underwent during Bayezid's reign, analyzing the structures of power, the economy, and the cultural expressions of his new, centralized empire.

The Unification of Anatolia: A Policy of Coercion

The cornerstone of Bayezid's state-building project was his radical departure from previous Ottoman policy in Anatolia. Where his predecessors had expanded through cautious diplomacy and alliance, Bayezid pursued a policy of rapid, forceful annexation of the other Turkish beyliks. This strategy was essential for creating a centralized empire but also proved to be the source of its critical weakness.

A New Imperial Policy

Sultan Murad I and his predecessors had deliberately avoided large-scale conflict with the other Turkish principalities in Anatolia. Their primary focus was the *ghaza*, the holy war against the Christian Byzantine Empire and Balkan states. Expansion in Anatolia was pursued primarily through peaceful means, such as strategic marriage alliances (like Bayezid's own with the Germiyanid princess) and the outright purchase of territory.[17] Bayezid completely reversed this long-standing policy. Immediately upon his accession, he embarked on a series of swift military campaigns designed to subjugate and directly annex the beyliks, thereby unifying Anatolia under his sole authority.[3]

A Decade of Conquest

The speed of his Anatolian conquests was breathtaking. In a single campaign during the summer and fall of 1390, he absorbed the western beyliks of Aydin, Saruhan, Menteshe, Hamid, and Teke.[4] This brought him into direct conflict with his most powerful Turkish rival, the Karamanid emirate, which was centered on the old Seljuk capital of Konya and saw itself as the legitimate heir to Seljuk authority in Anatolia.[50] After an initial confrontation and a brief peace treaty in 1391, Bayezid returned in 1397, decisively defeated the Karamanid army at the Battle of Akçay, killed its emir, and annexed the entirety of its territory.[3] He followed this by conquering the northern territories of Kastamonu and Sinop and, in 1398, the eastern domains of Kadi Burhan al-Din of Sivas.[4] Within a decade, he had achieved what no Ottoman ruler before him had attempted: the political unification of nearly all of Turkish Anatolia by force.

Justification and Methods

This policy of waging war against fellow Muslim states was ideologically problematic for a ruler whose legitimacy was partly based on his status as a *ghazi*. It risked alienating his traditional base of Turkish warriors, who were dedicated to fighting infidels, not co-religionists.[4] To counter this, Bayezid began the practice of first securing *fatwas*—formal legal rulings from Islamic scholars—to provide religious justification for these campaigns.[4] Even with this clerical approval, Bayezid evidently doubted the loyalty of his Turkish followers for these controversial wars. Consequently, he relied heavily on his Christian vassal troops, particularly the disciplined Serbian heavy cavalry and Byzantine contingents, to form the core of his armies for the Anatolian campaigns.[4]

Bayezid's Anatolian policy was a high-stakes gamble that consciously traded the traditional, consensus-based legitimacy of a *ghazi* leader for the absolute, centralized authority of an emperor. By using Christian troops to conquer Muslim lands, he fundamentally altered the character of the Ottoman state, prioritizing imperial unity over *ghazi* solidarity. This created a deep and widespread resentment among the conquered Anatolian Turks, a vulnerability that Timur expertly exploited. The policy's catastrophic failure at the Battle of Ankara demonstrates that a state unified by coercion alone is inherently unstable. Bayezid had not had sufficient time—or perhaps the political inclination—to build the social and political institutions necessary to transform his newly conquered subjects into loyal citizens. The traditional Ottoman model was *ghaza* against Byzantium and diplomacy with Muslim neighbors; this provided ideological coherence and a steady stream of warriors.[3] Bayezid's annexations shattered this model. The paradox of the "warrior for the faith" using "infidel" troops to conquer other Muslims provided a powerful narrative for his opponents. The displaced emirs could portray Bayezid as an illegitimate tyrant, allowing Timur to position himself as the restorer of rightful Muslim rule.[3] The mass desertion at Ankara was the direct and predictable consequence of this policy. The Anatolian soldiers did not feel they were betraying their country; they believed they were joining a more legitimate leader against their recent conqueror.[13] Thus, the military unification of Anatolia was a short-term success but a profound political failure, creating an empire on the map that harbored the internal divisions that would cause its swift collapse.

The Balkan Dominion and the Siege of Constantinople

While pursuing his coercive unification of Anatolia, Bayezid simultaneously consolidated and expanded Ottoman power in the Balkans, shifting from a system of loose vassalage to one of direct imperial control. The capstone of this European imperial project was his determined, multi-year siege of Constantinople.

Consolidating the Balkan Conquests

The victory at Kosovo in 1389 had effectively broken the power of the Serbian state, which became a loyal Ottoman vassal.[4] Bayezid cemented this relationship through his marriage to Princess Olivera Despina, the daughter of the fallen Serbian leader, Prince Lazar.[4] This alliance provided him with a highly effective contingent of Serbian heavy knights who would prove crucial in both his Anatolian campaigns and at the Battle of Nicopolis. From this secure base, he pushed Ottoman control further. He captured Skopje in 1391, turning it into a major base for operations in the southern Balkans.[4] In 1393, he formally annexed the Kingdom of Bulgaria, occupying its capital at Tirnova.[3] In 1394, he conquered the major Byzantine port of Thessalonica and launched campaigns into Wallachia against Mircea the Elder and into northern Greece and Albania.[4]

The Shift to Direct Rule

A key feature of Bayezid's Balkan policy was a decisive shift from the indirect rule favored by his predecessors to direct imperial administration. He began to systematically eliminate local dynasties and replace the loose ties of vassalage with direct Ottoman governance, applying the *timar* system—the granting of revenue-producing lands in exchange for military service—to organize the new provinces.[16] His complete elimination of the Bulgarian kingdom, including the execution of its ruler, Ivan Shishman, was a clear signal of this new, uncompromising approach.[15] In a famous and intimidating display of power in 1393, he summoned all of his Balkan Christian vassals to a meeting at Serres. By gathering them all in one place, he demonstrated their powerlessness and reasserted his absolute dominance, making it clear that their status depended entirely on his will.[16]

The Siege of Constantinople (1394-1402)

The ultimate objective of Bayezid's European policy was the capture of Constantinople.[15] In 1394, he initiated a near-continuous blockade and siege of the city that would last for eight years.[1] This was not a mere raid but a sustained effort to isolate and starve the Byzantine capital into submission. To this end, he constructed the formidable Anadoluhisarı (Anatolian Fortress) on the Asian shore of the Bosphorus, a strategic move designed to cut off any naval relief or support coming to the city from the Black Sea.[7] The siege brought the Byzantine Empire to the brink of collapse and was a major factor in prompting the West to launch the Crusade of Nicopolis. The city was only granted a reprieve when Bayezid was forced to lift the siege and march his army east to confront the existential threat posed by Timur's invasion.[4] Bayezid was the first Ottoman ruler to operate as the head of a true two-front empire,

simultaneously managing large-scale, resource-intensive imperial projects in both Anatolia and Europe. The siege of Constantinople was the symbolic nexus of these two fronts. This dual reality stretched Ottoman military and administrative capacity to its absolute limit. It created a persistent strategic vulnerability, forcing the Sultan to constantly pivot between two distinct geopolitical theaters. When the Crusaders threatened his Balkan frontier at Nicopolis, he had to pause his ambitions in Anatolia. When Timur threatened his Anatolian heartland, he was forced to abandon his nearly successful eight-year effort to capture Constantinople. The defeat at Ankara was therefore not just a loss to a superior general, but a failure of this enormously ambitious two-front strategy, which had overextended the resources of his nascent empire.

The Bayezidian State: Military and Administrative Architecture

To manage his rapidly expanding, two-front empire, Bayezid I undertook crucial institutional reforms that professionalized the military and centralized the administration. These reforms laid the institutional groundwork for the classical Ottoman state and were essential tools in his project of transforming a frontier principality into an autocratic empire.

Centralization of Power

The defining political characteristic of Bayezid's reign was the creation of the "first centralized Ottoman state".[3] He systematically worked to eliminate the power of the semi-independent vassals and the great frontier lords (
uc beğleri) who had been instrumental in the early conquests but whose autonomy now posed a threat to unitary rule. Power was increasingly concentrated in the person of the Sultan and his administration in the capital, Bursa.[16] This shift from a decentralized
ghazi polity to a centralized monarchy was the fundamental political project of his reign.

The Professional Army: Janissaries and the *Devşirme*

At the heart of this new centralized state was a professional, standing army loyal only to the Sultan. While the Janissary corps had been founded by his father, Murad I, it was significantly reformed, expanded, and professionalized under Bayezid.[7] He reorganized their ranks and divisions and, for the first time in Ottoman history, instituted the payment of regular salaries to all soldiers directly from the central treasury.[7] This was a revolutionary step, transforming the army from a collection of tribal warriors and feudal levies into a professional force whose livelihood and allegiance were tied directly to the state, not to individual chieftains or lords.[54] To man this expanding slave army (*kapıkulları*) and fill the ranks of his administration, Bayezid regularized and expanded the *devşirme* system.[55] This levy of Christian boys from the Balkan

provinces, which likely began in a more ad hoc fashion under Murad I, became a systematic institution under Bayezid.[55] The boys were taken from their families, converted to Islam, and educated in Turkish language and culture before being trained for service. The most capable entered the palace schools to become administrators, while the rest formed the elite Janissary infantry.[53] This system provided the Sultan with a class of elite soldiers and officials who were, in theory, completely loyal to him, having been severed from all prior family, regional, and religious ties.[54] This slave-elite became a powerful tool to counterbalance the influence of the traditional Turkish aristocracy, whose power was based on land and tribal loyalties.[59]

The *Timar* System

For the administration of his vast new territories and the support of his provincial army, Bayezid applied and reinforced the *timar* system. This was a grant of the right to collect state revenues from a piece of land, awarded to a cavalryman (*sipahi*) in exchange for his military service and the service of a number of retainers.[16] This system allowed the state to support a large and effective provincial cavalry force without requiring massive cash outlays from the central treasury, and it served as the primary administrative framework for the newly conquered lands in both Anatolia and the Balkans.[60]

The expansion of the Janissaries and the formalization of the *devşirme* system were not merely military reforms; they were instruments of a profound political strategy. Bayezid's centralizing ambitions were constantly checked by the power of the old Turkish notable families and the semi-independent *ghazi* warlords. The *devşirme* provided the perfect solution: a pool of elite manpower with no pre-existing loyalties to Turkish tribes, Anatolian dynasties, or rival Islamic factions. Their allegiance was cultivated and directed solely towards the person of the Sultan. By paying them salaries and entrusting them with key military and administrative posts, Bayezid created a new power center entirely dependent on his authority. This new slave-elite could be deployed to enforce his will against the old Turkish aristocracy, a strategy his successor Murad II would later use explicitly to consolidate his own power.[59]

Bayezid's reliance on his
kapıkulları was particularly evident in his controversial Anatolian campaigns, where the loyalty of his Turkish troops was suspect. The Bayezidian state was, in essence, built upon the foundation of this slave-elite, allowing him to break free from the constraints of the traditional *ghazi* state and forge a truly imperial, autocratic administration.

The Imperial Economy and Cultural Expression

The wealth generated by conquest and the control of strategic trade routes funded Bayezid's ambitious state-building projects. This new imperial power and prosperity found its most visible expression in his capital city of Bursa, which he transformed into a major commercial

hub and adorned with monumental architecture that proclaimed the dawn of a new Ottoman imperial age.

Economic Foundations

While the Ottoman economy remained primarily agrarian, Bayezid's reign saw a significant increase in the wealth and resources available to the central state.[61] His conquests in the Balkans and Anatolia brought vast new lands, populations, and sources of tribute and tax revenue under his control.[14] His unification of Anatolia was driven by clear economic motives: to secure control over the vital East-West caravan routes, including branches of the Silk Road, that passed through the peninsula. Gaining command of this trade significantly increased state revenues from customs and duties, providing the financial means for his professional army and grand building projects.[15]

Bursa: Capital and Commercial Hub

Under Bayezid, Bursa, the first major Ottoman capital, flourished as a major international center of commerce.[63] The city's strategic location made it a key nexus for the lucrative silk trade. It became the primary marketplace where Italian merchants, particularly from Genoa and Venice, purchased raw silk imported overland from Persia, exchanging it for Western woolen cloth and other goods.[63] Bursa also lay on a branch of the Spice Road, making it a warehouse for valuable spices arriving from the East via Syria and Iraq.[63] To support and control this burgeoning trade, Bayezid and his administrators invested in commercial infrastructure, including the construction of large *khans* (urban caravanserais for merchants and their goods) and a *bedesten* (a fortified, covered market for the most valuable commodities), which formed the core of the city's commercial district.[16]

Architectural Patronage as Imperial Proclamation

Bayezid was a prolific patron of architecture, using grand construction projects to project his newfound imperial power and to shape the urban landscape of his capital.[7] His commissions in Bursa defined the early Ottoman architectural style, a sophisticated synthesis of older Seljuk Turkish traditions with Byzantine and Persian influences.[65]
His two most significant projects were:

1. **The Yıldırım Külliyesi (c. 1390-1395):** This sprawling complex, named for the Sultan himself, was built on a prominent hill overlooking Bursa. A *külliye* is a complex of buildings centered around a mosque, and Bayezid's included a mosque, a madrasa (theological school), a hospital (*darüşşifa*), a public bath (*hammam*), and later, his tomb.

These complexes were not merely religious centers but the nuclei of urban development, providing a range of social, educational, and charitable services to the public.[19] The mosque itself is a key example of the "T-plan" or "Bursa-type" mosque, a design characteristic of the early Ottoman period.[66]

2. **The Ulu Cami (Grand Mosque) of Bursa (1396-1400):** Bayezid's most monumental commission was the Great Mosque, built to commemorate his victory over the Crusaders at Nicopolis.[4] According to a popular legend, Bayezid had vowed before the battle to build twenty mosques if he was victorious; upon the advice of his scholars, he fulfilled this vow by building a single grand mosque with twenty domes.[40] The Ulu Cami is the most magnificent example of a multi-domed congregational mosque in early Ottoman architecture. Its vast, dimly lit prayer hall is supported by twelve massive piers, creating an atmosphere of contemplative grandeur.[72] Among its most celebrated features are a unique indoor ablution fountain situated directly beneath a central oculus, and an exquisitely carved walnut wood *minbar* (pulpit), considered a masterpiece of the period.[73]

Bayezid's building program was a deliberate act of statecraft. The monumental scale and sophisticated design of his commissions were a clear departure from the more modest constructions of his predecessors. They were physical statements of a new imperial status, funded by the wealth of conquest and trade, and designed to establish Bursa as a capital worthy of a world power. By creating these vast public complexes, he concentrated social, religious, and intellectual life around institutions that bore his name, physically stamping his imperial authority onto the urban landscape and showcasing the power and prosperity of his newly forged empire.

The Shattered Realm: Legacy and the Interregnum (1402-1413)

The defeat at Ankara was an existential shock from which Bayezid's centralized state could not recover. His capture and subsequent death created a power vacuum that immediately exposed the fragility of his personal rule and the unresolved tensions of the Turco-Mongol succession system, plunging the empire into a devastating civil war.

The Immediate Collapse

The aftermath of the battle was catastrophic, leading to the near-total collapse of the Ottoman Empire in Anatolia.[13] Timur and his army swept through the peninsula, sacking major cities like Bursa and restoring to power the very beyliks that Bayezid had spent a decade conquering.[43] The Ottoman state in Anatolia was shattered, its territory shrinking back to the borders it had held in the early years of Murad I's reign.[9] The unified Anatolian empire that was Bayezid's crowning achievement had vanished overnight.

The Ottoman Interregnum (*Fetret Devri*)

Bayezid's death in captivity in 1403 unleashed the very forces of dynastic strife he had sought to suppress by killing his brother at Kosovo. A power vacuum emerged, and his four surviving sons—Süleyman, İsa, Musa, and Mehmed—each laid claim to the throne, precipitating an 11-year civil war known as the Ottoman Interregnum or *Fetret Devri* (Period of Interregnum).[6] The shattered empire was divided among them:

- **Süleyman Çelebi**, the eldest, established his rule over the Ottoman territories in Europe (Rumeli), with his capital at Edirne.
- **İsa Çelebi** controlled the old capital of Bursa and the surrounding heartland in western Anatolia.
- **Mehmed Çelebi** carved out a domain for himself in central Anatolia, based in Amasya.
- **Musa Çelebi**, who had been captured with his father at Ankara, was later released by Timur and joined the fray.[6]

Table 2: The Sons of Bayezid I and their Factions in the Ottoman Interregnum (1402-1413)

Prince (Son of Bayezid)	Primary Power Base (Territory)	Key Allies	Fate
Süleyman Çelebi	Rumeli (European provinces); Edirne	Byzantine Emperor Manuel II Palaiologos	Defeated by Musa's forces after his army defected; captured and executed in 1411.[6]
İsa Çelebi	Western Anatolia; Bursa	Byzantine Emperor, Süleyman Çelebi	Defeated by Mehmed at the battles of Ermeni-beli and Ulubad (1403); later killed by Mehmed's agents.[6]
Musa Çelebi	Rumeli (after defeating Süleyman)	Wallachian Voivode Mircea I	Defeated and killed by Mehmed at the Battle of Çamurlu in 1413.[6]
Mehmed Çelebi	Central Anatolia; Amasya	Serbian Despot Stefan Lazarević, Byzantine Emperor (against Musa), Germiyanid and Dulkadirid beyliks	Emerged as the final victor; reunified the empire and became Sultan Mehmed I in 1413.[6]

The conflict was a chaotic "war of all against all," characterized by shifting alliances as the brothers sought support from the remaining Christian vassals (the Byzantines and Serbians) and the newly independent Anatolian beyliks.[6] After years of fratricidal warfare, Mehmed Çelebi finally emerged as the sole victor, defeating his last remaining brother, Musa, at the

Battle of Çamurlu in Serbia on July 5, 1413. He reunified the Ottoman lands and ascended the throne as Sultan Mehmed I, bringing the devastating Interregnum to a close.[6]

The Legacy of the Defeat

The Interregnum set the Ottoman state back by decades, if not half a century.[43] It delayed the inevitable conquest of Constantinople and forced Mehmed I and his successor, Murad II, to spend much of their reigns painstakingly re-conquering the Anatolian territories that Bayezid had lost.[2] The civil war provided a brutal and unforgettable lesson on the existential danger posed by multiple heirs. This experience directly led to the formal codification of fratricide as a principle of state by Mehmed II, who was determined to prevent a repeat of the chaos that had followed his great-grandfather's fall.[6]

The fact that the Ottoman state *survived* the Interregnum at all, rather than collapsing permanently as many other empires had after such a comprehensive defeat, is the ultimate testament to the institutional foundations laid by Murad I and consolidated by Bayezid. While Bayezid's personal project of a coercively unified Anatolia proved brittle and failed its first stress test, the core state structures he had nurtured—particularly the *devşirme*-based military and administrative apparatus in the Balkans—remained largely intact. The Anatolian territories dissolved back into their constituent beyliks, but the European part of the empire, Rumeli, proved far more resilient. First under Süleyman and then Musa, it remained a coherent political entity.[6] The administrative and military systems established there were more deeply rooted and did not have local Turkish dynasties to which they could revert. The final victor, Mehmed I, had to win the war in Europe, defeating Musa in the Balkans with the help of his Balkan Christian allies.[6] This demonstrates that the institutionalization of Ottoman rule in the Balkans was far more successful and durable than in Anatolia. The *devşirme* system and the Janissaries, Bayezid's key instruments of centralization, provided a core of state power that transcended the person of the sultan and could be wielded by his successors to rebuild. Paradoxically, the Interregnum highlights the long-term success of Bayezid's state-building even as it was precipitated by his personal failure. The Anatolian conquests were a fragile veneer, but the Balkan state was a solid foundation. It was this European base that acted as the anchor for the entire enterprise, allowing the Ottomans to recover and, within fifty years, to finally conquer Constantinople and become a true world empire.

Conclusion

The reign of Bayezid I was a period of brilliant, violent, and ultimately tragic transformation for the Ottoman Empire. He was a ruler of immense energy, ambition, and military talent who inherited a frontier state and, in little more than a decade, forged it into an empire. He centralized its administration, professionalized its army, and expanded its borders to their

greatest extent yet. His crushing victory at Nicopolis established the Ottomans as a dominant power on the world stage, a force that the combined chivalry of Europe could not contain. His architectural patronage in Bursa gave physical form to this new imperial identity, creating a capital that reflected the wealth and grandeur of his realm. In every sense, he created the template for the centralized, autocratic, and transcontinental Ottoman state that would dominate the region for centuries.

Yet, his reign is a classical tragedy of hubris. The very qualities that propelled his rise—his "Thunderbolt" speed, his unyielding aggression, and his supreme self-confidence—proved to be fatal flaws when confronted by a strategist of Timur's caliber. The centralized state he built through coercion and personal will proved too fragile to withstand the shock of his personal defeat. The empire he forged was shattered in a single afternoon, and the dynasty was plunged into a fratricidal war that nearly erased it from history.

His ultimate legacy is therefore profoundly twofold. He was the architect of the first Ottoman Empire, defining its imperial scope and building the key institutions, like the salaried Janissary corps, that would ensure its future strength. But his fall provided the most potent and brutal lesson in the dynasty's history on the dangers of unchecked ambition and the existential necessity of a stable succession. It was a lesson learned and codified by his descendants, who rebuilt the empire from the ashes he left behind, ensuring that the state would be stronger and more resilient than any single ruler. Bayezid I, the Thunderbolt, had illuminated the path to empire, but he had also demonstrated how swiftly that path could lead to ruin.

Works cited

1. Bayezid I | PDF | Ottoman Empire - Scribd, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.scribd.com/document/702939352/Bayezid-I
2. Ottoman Empire - Wikipedia, accessed September 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_Empire
3. Bayezid I | Conqueror, Expansion & Legacy - Britannica, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/biography/Bayezid-I
4. Bayezid I - Wikipedia, accessed September 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bayezid_I
5. Battle of Ankara (1402) | Description, Casualties, & Significance | Britannica, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/event/Battle-of-Ankara-1402
6. Ottoman Interregnum - Wikipedia, accessed September 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_Interregnum
7. Sultan Yildirim Bayezit | All About Turkey, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.allaboutturkey.com/yildirim.html
8. HwtS 078: The Battle of Kosovo, 1389 - History with the Szilagyis, accessed September 17, 2025, https://historywiththeszilagyis.org/hwts078
9. Yıldırım Bayezid - Biyografya, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.biyografya.com/en/biographies/yildirim-bayezid-95d3d395
10. Battle of Nicopolis - Wikipedia, accessed September 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Nicopolis

11. Clash of the Tyrants - Warfare History Network, accessed September 17, 2025, https://warfarehistorynetwork.com/article/clash-of-the-tyrants/
12. The Battle of Ankara - History of Islam, accessed September 17, 2025, https://historyofislam.com/contents/the-post-mongol-period/the-battle-of-ankara/
13. Battle of Ankara - Wikipedia, accessed September 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Ankara
14. Ottoman, accessed September 17, 2025, http://www.theottomans.org/english/family/beyazid.asp
15. Bayezid the "Thunderbolt", the Ottoman Sultan Who Died in Captivity | TheCollector, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.thecollector.com/bayezid-thunderbolt-ottoman-sultan-died-captivity/
16. Bayezid I - Brill - Reference Works, accessed September 17, 2025, https://referenceworks.brill.com/display/entries/EI3O/COM-24544.xml
17. Osman, Orhan, Expansion - Ottoman Empire - Britannica, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Ottoman-Empire/Osman-and-Orhan
18. en.wikipedia.org, accessed September 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bayezid_I#:~:text=Personality,-Bayezid%20is%20proclaimed&text=According%20to%20the%20British%20orientalist,he%20entrusted%20to%20his%20governors.
19. SULTAN YILDIRIM BAYEZID - Bursa, accessed September 17, 2025, https://uludag.edu.tr/yildirimbeyazitvetimursempozyum/sultan-yildirim-bayezid-67444
20. Bayezid I Facts For Kids | AstroSafe Search - DIY.ORG, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.diy.org/article/bayezid_i
21. Bayezid I - (World History – Before 1500) - Vocab, Definition, Explanations | Fiveable, accessed September 17, 2025, https://fiveable.me/key-terms/world-history-to-1500/bayezid
22. History of the Ottoman Empire: Bayezid I - Interactive Map and Timeline - Reddit, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/ottomans/comments/vc1sug/history_of_the_ottoman_empire_bayezid_i/
23. Ottoman Empire | Facts, History, & Map | Britannica, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Ottoman-Empire
24. Battle of Kosovo | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/battle-kosovo
25. Battle of Kosovo - Wikipedia, accessed September 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Kosovo
26. The Battle of Kosovo, 1389 | History Today, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.historytoday.com/archive/battle-kossovo-1389
27. Battle of Kosovo: Last stand of Christian resistance - Seven Swords -, accessed September 17, 2025, https://sevenswords.uk/battle-of-kosovo/
28. historywiththeszilagyis.org, accessed September 17, 2025, https://historywiththeszilagyis.org/hwts078#:~:text=Bayezid%20was%20the%20h

ero%20of,and%20ensured%20an%20Ottoman%20victory.
29. Fratricide had been common and legal in the Ottoman dynasty. Did any sultan break this tradition at any point in time in Ottoman history? - Quora, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.quora.com/Fratricide-had-been-common-and-legal-in-the-Ottoman-dynasty-Did-any-sultan-break-this-tradition-at-any-point-in-time-in-Ottoman-history
30. THE PHENOMENON OF FRATRICIDE IN THE OTTOMAN EMPIRE - IJRSSH, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.ijrssh.com/admin/upload/38%20Zainab%20HashimJaryan.pdf
31. FRATRICIDE IN OTTOMAN LAW - Belleten, accessed September 17, 2025, https://belleten.gov.tr/tam-metin-pdf/354/eng
32. Fratricide - Wikipedia, accessed September 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fratricide
33. Fratricide in Ottomans: History, Politics, and Drama - Motley Turkey, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.motleyturkey.com/fratricide-in-ottomans-history-politics-and-drama/
34. THE HISTORY of FRATRICIDE in the OTTOMAN EMPIRE - Prof. Dr. Ekrem Buğra Ekinci, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.ekrembugraekinci.com/article/?ID=615
35. Battle of Nicopolis (1396) | Description & Significance - Britannica, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/event/Battle-of-Nicopolis
36. Battle of Nicopolis - EBSCO, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/military-history-and-science/battle-nicopolis
37. Battle of Nicopolis, 1396 - HISTORY OF CROATIA and related history, accessed September 17, 2025, https://historyofcroatia.com/2022/03/21/battle-of-nicopolis-1396/
38. Battle of Nicopolis - World History Encyclopedia, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.worldhistory.org/Battle_of_Nicopolis/
39. The Battle of Nicopolis (1396), according to Johann Schiltberger - De Re Militari, accessed September 17, 2025, https://deremilitari.org/2025/01/the-battle-of-nicopolis-1396-according-to-johann-schiltberger/
40. Bursa Grand Mosque - Ulu Jami - TourismCaravan, accessed September 17, 2025, https://tourismcaravan.com/en/places/Mosque-Ulu-Jameh-107
41. Why did Tamerlane attack the Ottoman army of Bayezid the Lightining and thus prevented him to continue to attack Europe? - Quora, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.quora.com/Why-did-Tamerlane-attack-the-Ottoman-army-of-Bayezid-the-Lightining-and-thus-prevented-him-to-continue-to-attack-Europe
42. The Battle of Ankara 1402 - Historical Quest, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.historical-quest.com/117-english-articles/689-the-battle-of-ankara-1402.html

43. What were the causes of the Battle of Ankara 1402 between Timur Gurkani and Bayazid?, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.quora.com/What-were-the-causes-of-the-Battle-of-Ankara-1402-between-Timur-Gurkani-and-Bayazid
44. Battle of Ankara | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/military-history-and-science/battle-ankara
45. Bayezid – War and Civilization, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.camrea.org/tag/bayezid/
46. Battle of Ankara - Timelinefy, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.timelinefy.com/events/1234
47. Was Ottoman Sultan Bayezid I really mistreated and humiliated by Timur in captivity? : r/AskHistorians - Reddit, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/AskHistorians/comments/b7o3o8/was_ottoman_sultan_bayezid_i_really_mistreated/
48. What really happened to Sultan Beyazid when he was held captive ..., accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.quora.com/What-really-happened-to-Sultan-Beyazid-when-he-was-held-captive-by-Timur
49. Archnet > Site > Yıldırım Bayezid Külliyesi, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.archnet.org/sites/1905?media_content_id=7458
50. Karaman | historical principality, Anatolia - Britannica, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Karaman
51. How the Ottoman Empire Expanded and Its Impact on Europe - Sedekahsg, accessed September 17, 2025, https://singaporesedekah.com/pages/how-the-ottoman-empire-expanded-and-its-impact-on-europe
52. Ottoman Warfare - TOTA, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.tota.world/article/3109/
53. Bayezid and the Child Tax: 1362-1402 | All Things Medieval, accessed September 17, 2025, https://ruthjohnston.com/AllThingsMedieval/?p=2264
54. Janissary - Wikipedia, accessed September 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Janissary
55. Waning of the Devshirme System | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/waning-devshirme-system
56. Devshirme - Wikipedia, accessed September 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Devshirme
57. The Tumultuous Ottoman Interregnum (Bayezid's Fall & Civil War) - TheCollector, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.thecollector.com/ottoman-empire-interregnum-bayezid-fall-civil-war/
58. Devshirme System - World History Commons, accessed September 17, 2025, https://worldhistorycommons.org/devshirme-system
59. Restoration of the Ottoman Empire, 1402–81 - Britannica, accessed September

17, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Ottoman-Empire/Restoration-of-the-Ottoman-Empire-1402-81
60. Ottoman Empire - Classical Society, Administration, Reforms | Britannica, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Ottoman-Empire/Classical-Ottoman-society-and-administration
61. Economy of the Ottoman Empire - Modern Diplomacy, accessed September 17, 2025, https://moderndiplomacy.eu/2023/01/12/economy-of-the-ottoman-empire/
62. Ottoman Empire: Institutions and Economic Change, 1500–1914, accessed September 17, 2025, https://oxfordre.com/economics/display/10.1093/acrefore/9780190625979.001.0001/acrefore-9780190625979-e-539?d=%2F10.1093%2Facrefore%2F9780190625979.001.0001%2Facrefore-9780190625979-e-539&p=emailAUm1cfRTACcWI
63. Formation of the Historical Commercial Centre in Bursa, the ... - IDOSI, accessed September 17, 2025, http://www.idosi.org/wasj/wasj4(3)/5.pdf
64. Bursa | Silk Roads Programme - UNESCO, accessed September 17, 2025, https://en.unesco.org/silkroad/content/bursa
65. Bursa and Cumalıkızık: the Birth of the Ottoman Empire - UNESCO World Heritage Centre, accessed September 17, 2025, https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1452/
66. Early Ottoman architecture - Wikipedia, accessed September 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Early_Ottoman_architecture
67. Islamic arts - Ottoman, Architecture, Calligraphy | Britannica, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/topic/Islamic-arts/Ottoman-art
68. Yıldırım Bayezid I Complex - Bursa, accessed September 17, 2025, https://visitbursa.org/mekanlar/yildirim-i-bayezid-kulliyesi-100
69. Yıldırım Complex - Discover Islamic Art - Virtual Museum, accessed September 17, 2025, https://islamicart.museumwnf.org/database_item.php?id=monuments;ISL;tr;Mon01;14;en
70. Archnet > Site > Yıldırım Bayezid Külliyesi, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.archnet.org/sites/1905
71. Early Ottoman architecture - Wikiwand, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.wikiwand.com/en/articles/Early_Ottoman_architecture
72. Ottoman architecture - Wikipedia, accessed September 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_architecture
73. Great Mosque (Ulu Cami) - Discover Islamic Art - Virtual Museum, accessed September 17, 2025, https://islamicart.museumwnf.org/database_item.php?id=monument;ISL;tr;Mon01;16;en
74. Ulu Mosque: History, Architecture, and Hidden Stories - Motley Turkey, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.motleyturkey.com/bursa-ulu-mosque-history-architecture-legends/
75. Grand Mosque (Ulu Camii) - Daily City Tours Istanbul, Bursa, Cappadocia, Ephesus, Pamukkale and Gallipoli Sightseeing Tour, accessed September 17, 2025,

https://www.toursoption.com/en/Mosque/Grand-Mosque-Ulu-Camii-PI25.html
76. Anatolian beyliks - Wikipedia, accessed September 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anatolian_beyliks
77. How did the defeat of the Ottomans at the Battle of Ankara and the subsequent interregnum period affect Trebizond's ability to maintain its independence? - Quora, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.quora.com/How-did-the-defeat-of-the-Ottomans-at-the-Battle-of-Ankara-and-the-subsequent-interregnum-period-affect-Trebizonds-ability-to-maintain-its-independence
78. The Battle for the Ottoman Throne (1402–1413) | The Ottoman Civil War - YouTube, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ATsShfsfTVs
79. The Ottoman Civil War - al Muqaddima, accessed September 17, 2025, https://www.almuqaddima.com/post/the-ottoman-civil-war